### **COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT PLAN**

### Jackpile – Paguate Mine New Mexico

May 2011



# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 6

# THE U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S (EPA) SUPERFUND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT PROGRAM IS COMMITTED TO PROMOTING COMMUNICATION BETWEEN CITIZENS AND THE AGENCY.

ACTIVE PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IS CRUCIAL TO THE SUCCESS OF ANY PUBLIC PROJECT.

#### EPA'S COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES AT THE

### JACKPILE – PAGUATE MINE New Mexico

#### ARE DESIGNED TO

INFORM THE PUBLIC OF THE NATURE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE SITE,

INVOLVE THE PUBLIC IN THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL AFFECT THEM,

INVOLVE THE PUBLIC IN THE RESPONSES UNDER CONSIDERATION TO REMEDY THESE ISSUES, AND

INFORM THE PUBLIC OF THE PROGRESS BEING MADE TO IMPLEMENT THE REMEDY.

### **Table of Contents**

Section	Page	
1.0	Overview of the Community Involvement Plan	4
2.0	Capsule Site Description	4
2.1	Site History	4
2.2	Site Description/Location	5
2.3	Site Inspections/Assessments	6
3.0	Community Background	6
3.1	Community Profile	6
3.2	History of Community Involvement	6
3.3	Key Community Concerns	12
3.4	Response to Community Concerns	12
3.5	Summary of Communication Needs	12
4.0	EPA's Community Involvement Program	13
4.1	The Plan	13
4.2	Time Frame Summary for Community Involvement Activities	19
Appendices:		
A	EPA Regional Contacts	
В	Tribal Officials	
C	Laguna Village Leaders	
D	State Officials	
E	Federal Elected Officials.	
F	Potentially Responsible Parties	
G	Media Contacts	
Н	Meeting Locations	
I	Repository Location	
J	Hospitals	
K	Schools	
L	Other Local Resources	
M	Other Agencies	33

### Section 1.0 Overview of the Community Involvement Plan

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) developed this Community Involvement Plan to facilitate two-way communication between the communities in and surrounding the Jackpile-Paguate Mine Superfund Site and EPA and to encourage community involvement in site activities. EPA will utilize the community involvement activities outlined in this plan to ensure that residents are continuously informed and provided opportunities to be involved.

This Community Involvement Plan addresses the Jackpile-Paguate Mine's relationship to the community and EPA (Section 2.0), provides a background of the communities (Section 3.0), presents EPA's community involvement program (Section 4.0), and provides a listing of resources available (Appendices). EPA drew upon several information sources to develop this plan, including community interviews and site files. EPA's Regional Office will oversee the implementation of the community involvement activities outlined in this Plan.

### Section 2.0 Capsule Site Description

#### 2.1 Site History

Uranium is found world-wide, but New Mexico has the largest deposits, estimated to be 600 million pounds. Uranium rose as an industry in the west in the post World War II atomic age. Energy produced by a pellet of uranium the size of a fingertip is equal to that produced by nearly a ton of coal. However, production withered to almost nothing in the mid-1980's after nuclear accident scares at Three Mile Island in Pennsylvania and Chernobyl in the former Soviet Union. At the time, this shook the public faith in nuclear power. But the surging price of uranium has lured companies to the desert uranium fields in New Mexico. Companies are asking for new permits. Prices rose from \$7 a pound in 2001 to over \$120 a pound now. The price increase, and the increasing demand for energy in developed and underdeveloped nations, coupled with worries that coal- and oil-fired power plants may contribute to global warming, has caused the new interest in uranium mining.

New Mexico has been a significant uranium producer since the discovery of uranium in 1950. Uranium in New Mexico is almost all in the so-called Grants mineral belt, along the southern margin of the San Juan Basin in McKinley and Cibola counties, in the northwest part of the state. Stretching northwest to southeast, the mineral belt contains the Chuska, Gallup, Ambrosia Lake, and Laguna uranium mining districts.

Several different companies moved into the region in the 1950's, particularly oil companies. They included Anaconda Company, Phillips Petroleum Company, Rio de

Oro Uranium Mines, Inc., Kermac Nuclear Fuels Corporation, Homestake Mining Company, Sabre-Pinion Corporation, United Western Minerals Company, J.H. Whitney and Company, White, Weld and Company, San Jacinto Petroleum Corporation, Lisbon Uranium Corporation, and Superior Oil Company.

Active uranium mining stopped in New Mexico in 1998, although Rio Algom continued to recover uranium dissolved in water from its flooded underground mine workings at Ambrosia Lake until 2002. No uranium mining is taking place, even though New Mexico has the second-largest known uranium ore reserves in the United States.

General Atomics subsidiary Rio Grande Resources is currently evaluating its Mt. Taylor mine for development. Strathmore Minerals Corporation is currently applying for permits to mine their Church Rock and Roca Honda properties in the Grants Mineral Belt. Neutron Energy and URI also plan to start uranium mining in the Grants Belt.

The State of New Mexico has been having committee hearings to discuss the legacy of past uranium mines in New Mexico. The legislative committee has heard from local, state, and federal officials about uranium mining in the State, and what many say are the deep and lasting contamination it has left behind in the water, air, and soil.

### 2.2 Site Description/Location

There are three mining subdivisions located within the Grants Mining District -Ambrosia Lake, Laguna, and Marquez. The Jackpile-Paguate Mine is in the Laguna subdivision and is on the Laguna Pueblo. Paguate is one of six villages on the Laguna Pueblo. It is at the mouth of Paguate Canyon on the northern slope of Mount Taylor. Just below the village, along Paguate Creek, Anaconda Corporation, a division of Atlantic Richfield Company, operated the Jackpile Mine from 1953-1982. This open pit mine has steadily worked its way up Paguate Canyon.

#### 2.3 Site Inspections/Assessments

The Department of the Interior proposed to approve a reclamation plan for the Jackpile-Paguate Mine in 1986. The reclamation proposals would to varying degrees restore the mine site to productive land use (primarily livestock grazing), reduce radiological and physical hazards, blend the visual characteristics of the mine site with the surrounding lands, and provide short-term employment for the Pueblo of Laguna. Reclamation would cause short-term adverse effects which would be mitigated to the extent possible.

Out of a total of 7,868 leased acres, 2,656 acres were disturbed by mining. This disturbance included three open pits, 32 waste dumps, 23 sub grade ore stockpiles, four topsoil stockpiles, and 66 acres of buildings and roads.

The two major issues identified were ensuring human health and reducing radioactive releases.

The Environmental Impact Statement, written in 1986, listed the major events and consultations and coordination activities that took place prior to and during the development of this Statement.

A record of Decision (ROD) for the reclamation of the Jackpile-Paguate Mine was signed on December 5, 1986. This document recorded the decisions reached by the Bureau of Land Management and the Bureau of Indian Affairs for the level of reclamation required for the Jackpile-Paguate Mine.

Alternatives for reclaiming the Mine were analyzed in the Final Environmental Impact Statement prepared by the Bureau of Land Management and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and were filed with the Environmental Protection Agency.

#### SECION 3.0 COMMUNITY BACKGROUND

#### 3.1 Community Profile

With a population of about 7,700, Laguna Pueblo is the largest Keresan-speaking pueblo. Historians believe the ancestors of the Laguna Pueblo have occupied the homelands since at least A.D. 1300.

The area around the villages produced evidence that Indians lived there as far back as 3000 B.C. When the Spanish arrived in the 1500's, they found an agrarian lifestyle and sophisticated system of self-governance.

The pueblo has six villages – Encinal, Laguna, Mesita, Paguate, Paraje, and Seama. Each community within the pueblo celebrates its own feast day and on September 19 all the villages celebrate the Feast of St. Joseph.

Laguna Industries, Inc., a construction company owned by the Pueblo of Laguna, is one of the largest U.S. contractors in Iraq, with reconstruction contracts worth more than \$300 million since 2004. In addition to its headquarters at the Pueblo, Laguna Industries maintains offices in Albuquerque, San Antonio (Texas), Houston (Texas), Baghdad (Iraq), and Amman (Jordan).

The reservation, at 35°02'15"N 107°15'03"W, lies in parts of four counties: Cibola, Sandoval, Valencia, and Bernalillo.

#### 3.2 History of Community Involvement

The history of community involvement is closely connected to/with the Environmental Impact Statement discussed above in §2.3 above.

<u>February 25, 1977</u> Anaconda submitted a mining and reclamation plan for all remaining mining operations. The U.S. Geological Survey, Conservation

Division, prepared a draft environmental assessment; however, because of changes in the mining plan and additional environmental concerns cited by the USGS, no action was taken on the plan.

March 29, 1979 Anaconda submitted a revised mining and reclamation plan which projected mining until 1985.

<u>September 11, 1980</u> Anaconda filed with USGS a three volume reclamation plan for the Jackpile-Paguate uranium mine. In the plan, Anaconda stated that it would discontinue production from two existing underground mines.

<u>December 2, 1980</u> The Chief of the USGS, Conservation Division, with concurrence by the Assistant Director for Resource Programs, determined that approval of the proposed reclamation plan would constitute a major Federal action, and therefore, that an Environmental Impact Statement would be required.

February 19, 1981 A "Notice of Intent" to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and to hold public scoping meetings on the reclamation of the Jackpile-Paguate uranium mine was published in the Federal Register (Vol. 44, No. 33, page 13045). This notice announced the availability of a proposed scoping document for the Environmental Impact Statement. This scoping document summarized Anaconda's reclamation plan, anticipated issues and concerns, proposed alternatives, and identified responsible personnel. The dates and locations of public meetings were also cited.

March 16, 1981 A public meeting was held in the Laguna Tribal Council Building, Laguna, New Mexico. Seventy people attended, including Laguna Councilmen, Anaconda representatives, and local residents. Nineteen people made oral presentations. A scoping document containing preliminary issues, as identified by the Department of Interior, was distributed to those in attendance. Department of Interior representatives briefly discussed the following possible issues:

Release of radon gas into the atmosphere.

Radiological decontamination of existing buildings.

Radiological contamination of Paguate Reservior and the Rios Paguate and Moquino.

Radiological contamination of ground water

Radiological contamination of the human food chain.

Loss of uranium resources.

Abandonment of underground openings.

High wall stabilization.

Waste dump stabilization.

Recontouring the mine site to prevent erosion.

Siltation of Paguate Reservior.

Construction of a productive soil profile.
Selection of productive revegetation species.
Contamination of surface wasters.
Future land use.
Aesthetic impacts of land form modification.
Reclamation costs.
Pueblo of Laguna employment during reclamation.
Reclamation standards.
Long-term monitoring.

The Governor of the Pueblo of Laguna outlined five main concerns of the Pueblo: (1) air and water quality and socioeconomic impacts; (2) preservation of, and access to religious and cultural sites; (3) safety; (4) monitoring; and (5) unrecovered uranium reserves. Other comments were made with regard to the following: the Environmental Impact Statement process and procedures, health effects (mining and post-reclamation), timetable to complete reclamation, level of backfill in each of the pits, radionuclide uptake into plant species, ore spillage at Quirk loading dock and along the rail spur, renovation of homes in the village of Paguate and realignment of State Highway 279.

March 18, 1981 A public meeting was held at the Classic Hotel, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Sixty-seven people attended, including representatives from the Pueblo of Laguna and Anaconda Minerals Company. Seven people made oral presentations and six written comments were submitted. The Department of the Interior representatives briefly summarized the same twenty (20) issues presented at the meeting held on March 16, 1981. Most of the comments received pertained to these issues. Other comments included a recommendation that the Environmental Impact Statement adopt the Nuclear Regulatory Commission/Environmental Protection Agency regulations and standards for radiological clean-up at uranium mill sites. Two commentators questioned the Department of Interior's authority and need to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

March 21, 1981 The Department of Interior representatives met with the Laguna Tribal Council to explain the Environmental Impact Statement process and solicit comments on major issues and concerns. Council members suggested that the Environmental Impact Statement address the following: wildlife, farming, tourism, employment, water flow, and supply at the housing area, revegatation of native species suitable for livestock grazing, birth defects, cancer rates, drug abuse, alcoholism, sedimentation of Paguate Reservoir, placement of rock piles on reclaimed dumps, contamination of adjacent lands, renovation of homes in the Village of Paguate, timetable for reclamation, preservation of religious sites, realignment of the Rio Paguate, water quality, use of contaminated materials for home construction, compensation for the people psychologically damaged by the mining operation, plugging abandoned drill holes, reclamation of exploration roads on Black Mesa, and radiological contamination of crops grown

and livestock raised on reclaimed areas.

<u>August 20, 1981</u> Anaconda withdrew the proposed reclamation plan submitted to the U.S. Geological Survey on September 11, 1980 because of plans to reroute State Highway 279 through the mine.

September 17, 1981 The Department of the Interior, the Pueblo of Laguna, and Anaconda met to discuss the rerouting of State Highway 279 through the mine site, and the recent withdrawal of Anaconda's proposed reclamation plan.

March 16, 1982 Anaconda filed with the U.S. Geological Survey a revised three-volume reclamation plan for the Jackpile-Paguate uranium mine. This plan is one of several alternatives currently being evaluated in this Environmental Impact Statement.

June 22, 1982 The Department of the Interior, the Pueblo of Laguna, and Anaconda agreed to form a technical committee to help define and resolve the differences between the Pueblo and Anaconda over reclamation of the Jackpile-Paguate mine site. The committee was comprised of representatives from the Department of the Interior, the Pueblo of Laguna, and Anaconda. The committee met on several occasions and was able to resolve several issues. Those issues resolved included: removal of all rock piles from the waste dumps; planting up to 1000 tree seedlings; and agreement on the types of revegatation species to be used in the reclamation program. Issues not resolved included: the length of postreclamation monitoring; configuration of waste dump slopes; stabilization of the North and South Paguate high walls; disposition of the railroad spur; disposition of the buildings and equipment; damage to Paguate housing; sedimentation of Paguate Reservior; the depth of topsoil cover; stabilization of arroyo headcuts; post-reclamation grazing management; disposition of protore stock piles; and the level of pit backfill. The last technical committee meeting was held November 10, 1982.

<u>April 16, 1984</u> The Bureau of Land Management began to resurvey the mine site to accurately determine existing topography. Aerial photography and computerized techniques (digitizing) would then be used to calculate material volume requirements for reclamation.

May 18, 1984 Department of the Interior officials met with the New Mexico State Environment Improvement Division to present them with the status of the Jackpile-Paguate mine reclamation project and to solicit comments. The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division asked questions regarding reclamation impacts on air and water quality.

August 21, 1984 Department of the Interior representatives met with the Pueblo of Laguna Tribal Council to provide an update on the Environmental Impact Statement and various studies including the U.S. Geological Survey,

Water Resource Division, hydrologic evaluation, radiological assessments and the photogrammetric/digitizing effort.

August 27, 1984 The U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resource Division, completed a short-term evaluation of the Dames and Moore ground water model. The Water Resource Division established that this model contained no inconsistencies of a mathematical or programming nature which significantly affected its results. However, the Water Resource Division's analysis revealed that the water levels computed by the Dames and Moore model were sensitive to the assumed input parameters.

October 1, 1984 Department of the Interior, Pueblo of Laguna, and Anaconda representatives met with the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management to discuss (1) Anaconda's cash settlement offer to the Pueblo of Laguna, and (2) the recent U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resource Division, evaluation of the Dames and Moore ground water model. In regard to the cash settlement offer, the Assistant Secretary informed all parties that the Department of the Interior would not be in a position to advise the Pueblo of Laguna on the suitability of the offer until the Environmental Impact Statement is completed. In regard to the ground water recovery issue, the Assistant Secretary stated that the evaluation conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resource Division, placed the Bureau of Land Management in a position to adopt the Dames and Moore findings subject to a long-term monitoring program.

October 13, 1984 The Bureau of Land Management, through its photogrammetric and digitizing efforts, completed volumetric calculations for pit backfill levels, waste dumps and slope configurations. This information would be used for engineering design and reclamation cost estimates for the reclamation proposals being evaluated in the Environmental Impact Statement.

March 5, 1985 The Environmental Impact Statement was filed with the Environmental Protection Agency.

March 6, 1985 A news release was issued announcing availability of the Environmental Impact Statement and information regarding public hearings. Approximately 650 copies of the Environmental Impact Statement were mailed to Federal, State, and local agencies, and various groups and individuals for their comments. The public comment period was from March 6, 1985 to June 6, 1985.

April 19, 1985 An extension of the public comment period to October 4, 1985 was announced in the Federal Register, Volume 50, No. 76. The comment period was extended at the request of Anaconda Minerals Company and the Pueblo of Laguna. The public was notified of this change by a news release and by written notice sent to each recipient of the Environmental Impact Statement.

July 31, 1985 A notice was published in the Federal Register, Volume 50,

No. 147 announcing that the public hearings were rescheduled for September 10-11, 1985. This rescheduling was necessary because of the extended public comment period. The public was notified of these new hearing dates by a news release and by written notice sent to each recipient of the Environmental Impact Statement.

August 19, 1985 Anaconda Minerals Company submitted a preliminary version of a new reclamation plan entitled the "1985 Multiple Land Use Reclamation Plan for the Jackpile-Paguate Uranium Mine, Cibola County, New Mexico." Anaconda stated that this new plan rendered the 1982 "Green Book" plan obsolete and withdrew the "Green Book" from further consideration in the Environment Impact Statement process.

September 10, 1985 A public hearing was held at the Albuquerque Convention Center, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Approximately 150 people attended, including representatives from the Pueblo of Laguna, Anaconda Minerals Company, and interested members of the public. The majority of comments were directed at radiological issues, volumetric estimates and associated costs, blast damage to the homes in the Paguate Village, legal issues, reclamation parameters, mitigation measures, and Anaconda's 1985 Multiple Land Use Reclamation Plan.

September 11, 1985 A public hearing was held in the Laguna Tribal Council Building, Laguna, New Mexico. Approximately 180 people attended, including representatives from the Pueblo of Laguna, Anaconda Minerals Company, and interested members of the public. The majority of comments were directed at radiological issues, blast damage to the homes in Paguate Village, and Anaconda's 1985 Multiple Use Reclamation Plan.

October 4, 1985 The public comment period officially closed. Anaconda submitted the final version of the new 1985 Multiple Land Use Reclamation Plan.

<u>April 4, 1986</u> The Department of the Interior ordered Anaconda to fence the mine site, provide for 24 hour security and to post warning signs around the periphery of the mine area. The Department of the Interior took this action under the authority of the lease terms and operating regulations.

September 10, 2009 Hearings with State of New Mexico Legislators

October 2009 Formal Consultation with Governor of Laguna Pueblo

<u>Various Meetings</u> Meetings with Laguna and Acoma Pueblos Environmental

Departments

October 20, 2009 Community Meeting in Grants, New Mexico

November 2009 Fact Sheet

November 2009 Postcards, pertaining to structural assessment, mailed out

<u>February 17-18, 2010</u> Site reconnaissance to Jackpile-Paguate Mine (with Laguna personnel)

March 2-4, 2010 Field sampling activities (soil, surface water, and sediment

samling)

July 1, 2010 ATSDR Road Show about uranium for Health Care

**Providers** 

July 13, 2010 Meeting with New Mexico Legislators

#### 3.3 Key Community Concerns

The community believes that people are becoming ill with cancer because of groundwater contamination.

Pending permits for future uranium mining.

Interested parties need to be part of the decision making.

#### 3.4 Response to Community Concerns

The EPA and the State of New Mexico are working with Laguna Pueblo, and the impacted communities to develop a plan to address the concerns of the pueblo and the Jackpile Mine and mining legacy issues.

#### 3.5 Summary of Communication Needs

The major concern is about the incidence of disease within the human population and the livestock population because of the contaminated water sources.

Tribal confidentiality is a concern insofar as government to government consultation is important. The Memorandum of Understanding between the Pueblo of Laguna and EPA is being completed.

Some citizens of the area are concerned with the economic problems in the area as a result of the curtailment of mining activities. However, these citizens are very sympathetic with other residents because of the groundwater contamination and the possibility of radon gas concentrations in residences.

The sheer size of the mine area makes the work more difficult, as does the rural nature of many of the homes. There is the complexity of working on Tribal lands. The Jackpile-Paguage Mine is being proposed for inclusion on the National Priorities List.

### Section 4.0 EPA'S Community Involvement Program

The overall goal of EPA's community involvement program is to promote two-way communication between citizens and the EPA and to provide opportunities for meaningful and active involvement by the community in the Superfund process. EPA will implement the community involvement activities described below. The following plan is based on the results of the community interviews described earlier; it addresses each issue that was identified as being important to the community.

#### 4.1 The Plan

The communities on the Laguna Pueblo will be kept informed of all activities relevant to the public health and the environment by means of an updated mailing list. Local media, fact sheets, and community meetings will be used to keep the community informed.

#### Issue 1: Keeping the public informed and up to date

#### Activity 1A: Designate an EPA Community Involvement Coordinator (CIC)

- Objective: To provide a primary liaison between the community and the EPA, and to ensure prompt, accurate, and consistent responses and information dissemination about the site. In those instances where EPA's Community Involvement Coordinator may be unable to provide adequate information (such as technical issues), inquiries will be directed to the appropriate EPA contact.
- Method: EPA will designate a CIC to handle site inquiries and serve as a point of contact for community members. The CIC is appointed by the Region 6 Headquarters. Stephen Harper is the CIC assigned by EPA for the Site.
- Timing: The CIC was designated in February 2010.

#### Activity 1B: Prepare and distribute site fact sheets and technical summaries

- Objective: To provide citizens with current, accurate, easy-to-read, easy-to-understand information about the Jackpile-Paguate Mine.
- Method: Fact sheets will be mailed to all parties on the site mailing list. In addition, copies will be available at the information repository.
- Timing: EPA will prepare and distribute fact sheets as needed.

#### Activity 1C: Involve students, parents, teachers through area schools

• Objective: To educate faculty, students, and their parents about the Site, to invite and address their concerns regarding the Site, and to caution students

about the Site.

- Method: Address school faculty and students through visits and presentations
  to classroom, faculty, school assembly, or other meetings or events.
  Additionally, communicate with parents via take-home materials provided to
  the students and/or by attending and participating in PTA meetings or events.
- Timing: As community concern warrants until the Site is closed.

#### **Activity 1D: Meet with local community groups**

- Objective: To provide community leaders multiple opportunities to get involved in collaboration.
- Method: Contact the community leaders and keep them informed of what is happening at the site.
- Timing: On-going.

#### **Issue 2: Staying current**

#### Activity 2A: Provide a toll-free 800 number for the community to contact EPA

- Objective: To enable citizens to get the latest information available when they want it rather than having to wait for a meeting or a fact sheet, and without incurring any cost.
- Method: EPA has activated the 800 number and will publish it periodically in the local paper and in all fact sheets.
- Timing: The line is currently operational at 1.800.533.3508.

#### Activity 2B: Maintain a mailing list for the Site

- Objective: To facilitate the distribution of site-specific information to everyone who wants to be kept informed about the Site.
- Method: EPA will create a mailing list that includes all residences of all the villages on the Laguna Pueblo, in known or suspected paths of migration, or those otherwise affected by the Site. EPA will also solicit interested parties via fact sheets, newspaper articles, public meetings, etc.
- Timing: EPA began working in February 2010 for inclusion on the National Priorities List. Once a mailing is compiled, it will be reviewed/revised periodically to keep it current.

#### Activity 2C: Establish and maintain an Information Repository

- Objective: To provide a convenient location where residents can go to read and copy official documents and other pertinent information about the Site and EPA activities.
- Method: The repository is a reference collection of site information containing the Administrative Record file, other site-specific information, the Community Involvement Plan, information about the Technical Assistance Grant program, and the general Superfund process. The Community Involvement Coordinator will work with a local contact to establish the local repository. This repository will be accessible to the physically challenged, will have copier facilities, and will be available to residents during normal business hours and at least some evening and/or weekend hours.
- Timing: EPA has established the local repository at the Environmental and Natural Resources Department, Pueblo of Laguna, P.O. Box 194, Laguna, New Mexico, 87026.

#### Activity 2D: Provide Site and Superfund Information on the internet

- Objective: To provide key resources for searching and listing both general and specific information about Superfund and hazardous waste issues.
- Method: A Site Status Summary for this site can be found at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6sf/6sfnm.html">http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6sf/6sfnm.html</a>. Information about EPA and Superfund can be found at:
  - 1. EPA Headquarters: http://www.epa.gov
  - 2. EPA Region 6: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6">http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6</a>
  - 3. EPA Region 6 Superfund Division: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6sf/html">http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6sf/html</a>
- Timing: Site Status Summaries are updated on a monthly basis.

#### **Issue 3: Technical Assistance**

## Activity 3A: Provide Technical Assistance Grant (TAG) information (if the site is added to the National Priorities List)

- Objective: To provide resources for community groups to hire technical advisors who can assist them in interpreting technical information about the Site.
- Method: EPA has provided information about the TAG program at public meetings and in site fact sheets. EPA will also provide briefing sessions to interested groups if so requested. EPA will provide TAG applications to qualified groups, and will provide assistance to the group in completing the

application.

• Timing: EPA will continue to promote TAGs until one is awarded.

#### Activity 3B: Establish and maintain the Administrative Record

- Objective: To provide residents with a paper trail of all documents, resources, etc. used by the Regional Project Manager and Site Team in reaching all decisions about the Site and its cleanup.
- Method: EPA will provide at least two sets of the Administrative Record for the Site: one in the EPA Region 6 offices located at 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas, and one located in the local information repository near the Site.
- Timing: The Administrative Record is opened as soon as site investigation begins and remains opened.

#### **Activity 3C: Conduct special events**

- Objective: To educate citizens, add a sense of closure, highlight a major event or milestone, recognize significant citizen or PRP activity, etc.
- Method: Examples include: special topic educational campaign, construction completion party, onsite demolitions, transition to the next phase, etc.
- Timing: As appropriate.

#### **Activity 3D: Hold public meetings**

- Objective: To update the community on site developments and address community questions, concerns, ideas, and comments.
- Method: The EPA will schedule, prepare for, and attend all announced meetings. EPA will provide at least two weeks' notice of the scheduled meeting. The Regional Project Manager, Community Involvement Coordinator, and other appropriate EPA staff will attend.
- Timing: The EPA will hold public meetings as appropriate.

#### **Issue 4: Community Involvement**

#### Activity 4A: Encourage formation of a Community Advisory Group (CAG)

• Objective: To provide citizens with a meaningful way to become actively involved, and to provide the Site Team with a viable means of learning citizen concerns and attitudes.

- Method: EPA will encourage the formation of a CAG and provide support as appropriate to facilitate its formation. If formed, EPA will provide administrative support but will not be an active member.
- Timing: EPA will respond to any requests for help to form a CAG. As necessary, EPA will promote CAGs regularly throughout the Superfund process until one is formed.

#### **Activity 4B: Make informal visits to the community**

- Objective: To help keep community members informed about the Site, while providing EPA with feedback about site activities and the community's opinion.
- Method: EPA will establish a presence in the community through informal, often unscheduled visits to talk spontaneously with local residents.
- Timing: Throughout the entire Superfund process.

#### Activity 4C: Solicit comments during a Public Comment Period

- Objective: To give community members an opportunity to review and comment on various EPA documents. This provides the citizens with meaningful involvement in the process and also provides the Site Team with valuable information for use in making decisions.
- Method: EPA will announce each comment period separately. Announcements will be published in Tribal newsletter and EPA fact sheets. These will include particulars about duration, how to make comments, where to submit comments, etc. EPA may solicit comments on the following information/draft documents: draft Community Involvement Plan, draft summary of test results (not individual tests) and initial interpretation, preliminary finds about the Remedial Investigation and a list of possible remedies likely to be considered, preliminary findings of the Feasibility Study and a brief summary of the leading contender for the proposed remedy, and preliminary plans for implementation and construction.
- Timing: Comment periods will be announced as appropriate. A comment period is required in conjunction with the announcement of the Proposed Plan and will last a minimum of 30 days.

#### Activity 4D: Prepare and issue a Responsive Summary

• Objective: To summarize comments received during comment periods, to

- document how the EPA has considered those comments during the decisionmaking process, and to provide responses to major comments.
- Method: EPA will prepare a Responsive Summary as a section of the Record of Decision. The Responsive Summary will include four sections: (1) Overview (2) Background about Community Involvement (3) Summary of comments received and Agency responses (4) Remedial Design/Remedial Action concerns. All information, both technical and nontechnical, will be conveyed in a manner that is easily understood.
- Timing: EPA will issue the Responsive Summary as part of the Record of Decision.

#### **Activity 4E: Revise the Community Involvement Plan**

- Objective: To identify and address community needs, issues, or concerns regarding the Site or the cleanup remedy that are not currently addressed in this Community Involvement Plan.
- Method: The revised Community Involvement Plan will update the information presented in the previous version of the Community Involvement Plan.
- Timing: EPA developed the Community Involvement Plan in February 2010. EPA will continue to revise the Community Involvement Plan as community concerns warrants or at least every three years until the Site is closed.

#### **Activity 4F: Provide Technical Assistance Services for Communities (TASC)**

- Objective: A headquarters contracting mechanism that provides a resource for independent, non-advocacy educational and technical assistance to a community affected by hazardous waste sites regulated by CERCLA and RCRA. This contract service is provided to communities so they can more effectively participate in the hazardous waste cleanup process in a more expedited manner.
- Method: EPA will provide information about the TASC program at public
  meetings and in site fact sheets as applicable. EPA will also provide briefing
  sessions to interested groups if so requested. EPA will provide information on
  TASC contract information to qualified groups, and will provide assistance to
  the applicant group in completing the Statement of Work for the contract
  application.
- Timing: As needed.

### **4.2** Time Frame Summary for Community Involvement Plan

ACTIVITY	TIME FRAME
Designate an EPA Community Involvement Coordinator (CIC)	Completed
Prepare and distribute site fact sheets and technical summaries	As needed, at least two yearly
Involve students, parents, teachers through area schools	As needed, at least annually
Provide a toll-free "800 number" for the community to contact EPA	Currently in operation
Maintain a mailing list for the Site	On-going
Establish and maintain Information Repositories	Established, update as needed
Provide Site and Superfund information on the Internet	Currently available; update as needed
Provide Technical Assistance Grant (TAG) information	If site is added to the NPL
Establish and maintain the Administrative Record	Established, update as needed
Conduct special events	As needed
Hold community/public meetings	As needed
<b>Encourage formation of a Community Advisory Group (CAG)</b>	On-going
Make informal visits to community	On-going
Solicit comments during a Public Comment Period	If site is added to the NPL
Prepare and issue a Responsiveness Summary	Following public comment periods
Revise the Community Involvement Plan (CIP)	As needed, at least every 3 years
<b>Technical Services to the Community</b>	As needed

## APPENDIX A EPA Regional Contacts

#### **EPA Staff Address:**

1445 Ross Avenue Dallas TX 75202

John Meyer La Donna Turner

Chief, Risk and Site Assessment New Mexico Site Assessment Manager

US EPA Region 6 (6SF-TR) US EPA Region 6 (6SF-TR)

Tel: 214.665.6742 Tel: 214.665.6686 Fax: 214.665.6660 Fax: 214.665.6660

E-mail: meyer.john@epa.gov E-mail: turner.ladonna@epa.gov

Jon Rinehart Warren Zehner

On-Scene Coordinator
US EPA Region 6 (6SF-PR)
US EPA Region 6 (6SF-PR)

Tel: 214.665.6789 Tel: 281.983.2229 Fax: 214.665.6660 Fax: 214.665.6660

Kevin Shade

Superfund Enforcement Officer US EPA Region 6 (6SF-TE)

Tel: 214.665.2708 Fax: 214.665.6660

E-mail: shade.kevin@epa.gov

Stephen Harper

Community Involvement Coordinator/SEE

US EPA Region 6 (6SF-VO)

Tel: 214.665.2727 Fax: 214.665.6660

E-mail: <u>harper.stephen.@epa.gov</u>

### **APPENDIX B Tribal Officials**

#### **Pueblo of Laguna**

Richard B. Luarkie., Governor P.O. Box 194 Laguna NM 87026 505.552.6654 (Staff Officer Paraje)

1 <sup>st</sup> Lt. Governor 2 <sup>nd</sup> Lt. Governor	Harry Antonio, Jr. Charles Poncho	(Staff Officer Laguna) (Staff Officer Mesita)
Head Fiscale	Keith V. Day	(Staff Officer Seama)
First Fiscale	Larson Romero	(Staff Officer Paguate)
Second Fiscale	Darwin L. Deloris	(Staff Officer Encinal)
Tribal Secretary	Machael A. Silva	
Tribal Treasurer	David A. Martinez	
Tribal Interpreter	Gaylord Siow	

Barbara Cywinska-Bernacik, Environmental Manager 505.552.7534 <a href="mailto:bbernacik@lagunatribe.org">bbernacik@lagunatribe.org</a>

Curtis Francisco, Water Quality Specialist <a href="mailto:cfrancisco@lagunatribe.org">cfrancisco@lagunatribe.org</a>

Dorothy Beecher, Environmental Technician dbeecher@lagunatribe.org

Marvin Sarracino, Reclamation Technician II msarracino@lagunatribe.org

### APPENDIX C LAGUNA VILLAGE LEADERS

<u>Laguna</u>	<u>Seama</u>	<u>Paraje</u>
Council Representative	Council Representative	Council Representative
Virgil Siow Glenn Kelsey, Sr.	Eloise Smith Robert Analla III	Marvin Trujillo, Jr. Francis Bautista, Jr.
Mayordomas	<u>Mayordomas</u>	Mayordomas
Don Siow, Sr. Norbert Wacondo Kenneth Lucas, Jr. Lawrence Martinez  Towncriers  Howard Francis Dwight McCracken	Edward Carrillo Frederick Silva Harold Analla Harvey Thomas, Sr.  Towncriers  Bennett Lewis Justin Kie	Loren Maria, Sr. Victor Day Robert Touchin, Sr. Mike Martin  Towncriers  Dean Smith Elton Day
Casey Douma Adam Scott	Aurthur Joe, Jr	
Treasurer	<u>Treasurer</u>	<u>Treasurer</u>
Heather Kie	Yamie Leeds	Camille Whitmore
Trustees	Trustees	<u>Trustees</u>
Thelma Antonio	Marylin Joe Jamie Carpio	Nadine Kowice Sue Lorenzo

# APPENDIX C (continued) LAGUNA VILLAGE LEADERS

<u>Mesita</u>	Encinal	<b>Paguate</b>
Council Representative	Council Representative	Council Representative
Francisco Carr Wil Herrera	Andrew Deloris Tina Vaio-Granger	Josephine Cochran Pat Pruitt
<u>Mayordomas</u>	<u>Mayordomas</u>	Mayordomas
Alvin Martin Nathan Lucero, Jr.	Nolan Deloris Ben Tsoi Taylor Aragon	Ed Bautista, Jr. Ron Ray Derek Martin
<u>Towncriers</u>		<u>Towncriers</u>
Vernon Fernando Steven Fernando Michael Correa Keenan Lucero Sabin Chevez		David Bautista Lincoln Encino
Treasurer	<u>Treasurer</u>	<u>Treasurer</u>
Pete Kasero, Jr.	Lucianne Riley	Nadine Encino
		Trustees
		Geri Yanohza Rachel Young
	War Captains (for Tribe)	
	Jonathan Day Kenneth Day Terrence Lucero	

## **APPENDIX D State Officials**

#### Governor

Susana Martinez 490 Old Santa Fe Trail, Rm 400 Santa Fe NM 87501 505.576.2200

#### Senate

Tsosie Leonard Box 1003 Crownpoint NM 87313

Joseph Fidel Box 968 Grants NM 87020 505.287.4432

#### House

Eliseo Lee Alcon Box 2134 Milan NM 87201 505.986.4254 eliseoalcon@msn.com

Ken Martinez
Box 730
Grants NM 87202
505.287.8801
molo@7cities.net

### APPENDIX E Federal Elected Officials

#### **Senators**

Jeff Bingaman 703 Hart Senate Office Bldg Washington DC 20510 202.224.5521

625 Silver SW, Ste 130 Albuquerque NM 87102 505.346.6601 bingaman.senate@gov/contact

Tom Udall 110 Hart Senate Office Bldg Washington DC 20510 202.224.6621

219 Central Ave NW, Ste 210 Albuquerque NM 87102 505.346.6791 tomudall.senate@gov/contact.cfm

#### Representatives

Martin Heinrich 1<sup>st</sup> District 1505 Longsworth HOB Washington DC 20515 202.225.6316

20 First Plaza NM, Ste 603 Albuquerque NM 87102 505.346.6781 heinrich@house.gov

Steve Pearce 2<sup>nd</sup> District 2430 Rayburn HOB Washington DC 20515 202.225.2365

3445 Lambros Loop NE Los Lunas NM 87031 855.473.2723 pearce@house.gov

Ben Luján 3<sup>rd</sup> District 502 Cannon HOB Washington DC 20515 202.225.6190

811 St. Michael's Dr, Ste 104 Santa Fe NM 87505 505.984.8950 lujan@house.gov

# APPENDIX F Potentially Responsible Parties

Atlantic Richfield Oil Company

### **APPENDIX G Media Contacts**

#### Newspaper

Kukadze'eta Towncrier P. O. Box 685 Laguna NM 87026 505.552.6652

#### **Radio Stations**

KKOB (770 AM) KLYT (88.3 FM)

KKIM (1000 AM) KKOB-FM (93.3 FM)

KXKS (1190 AM) KPEK (100.3 FM)

KANM (1600 AM) KTZO (103.3 FM)

#### **TV Stations**

K33GA (Channel 33) (U N M) KOB (Channel 4)

Grants NM 87020 KOAT (Channel 7)

K09EP(Channel 9) FOX (Channel 12)

Grants NM 87020 FRQE (Chennel 13)

K11EV (Channel 11)

Grants NM 87020

K06CU (Channel 6)

Grants NM 87202

# **APPENDIX H Meeting Locations**

Each village has a community center in which a community meeting could be held. This can be arranged after consultation with the Staff Officer for each village (see page 16 of this Community Involvement Plan).

# **APPENDIX I Repository Locations**

Pueblo of Laguna Environmental and Natural Resources Department P. O. Box 194 Laguna NM 87026

Barbara Cywinska-Bernacik, Environmental Manager

505.552.7534

### APPENDIX J Hospital

Acoma-Cañoncito-Laguna Indian Hospital (ACL) I-40 W Exit 102 P. O. Box 130 San Fidel NM 87049

505.552.6634

#### APPENDIX K Schools

Pueblo of Laguna Department of Education P. O. Box 207 Laguna NM 87026

505.552.6008

(Physical Address:)

Pueblo of Laguna Department of Education I-40 West, Exit 114 Building #1125 Laguna NM 87026

Dr. Anthony Fairbanks, Superintendent

Laguna-Acoma Jr-Sr High School

P.O. Box 689

Casa Blanca NM 87007

505.552.6683

Laguna Middle School (BIA School) Laguna Agency, BIA

P. O. Box 1448

(Grants-Cibolo Public Schools)

505.552.9200 Laguna NM 87026 505.552.6001 Arthur Blazer

Laguna Middle School (BIA School)

505.552.9091

Laguna Head Start (Administered by Laguna DOE)

505.552.6544

# **APPENDIX L Other Local Resources**

#### Appendix M Other Agencies

David Mayerson

New Mexico Environmental Department

Ground Waster Quality Bureau Superfund Oversight Section

Tel: 505.476.3777 Fax: 505.827.2965

E-mail: david.mayerson@state.nm.us

Patrick Young

Agency for Toxic Substances and

Disease Registry 1445 Ross Ave Dallas TX 75202 Tel: 214.665.8562

Bill Walker, Acting Regional Director

Bureau of Indian Affairs

P O Box 26567

1001 Indian School Rd, NW

Albuquerque NM 87125

Tel: 505.563.3100

Omar Bradley

Bureau of Indian Affairs Navajo Region Office

P O Box 1060

Gallup NM 87305

Tel: 505.863.8314

Tony Herrell, Deputy Director Bureau of Land Management

1474 Rodeo Rd

Santa Fe NM 87505

Tel: 505.438.7538

Tom Gow, Field Manager Bureau of Land Management Rio Puerco Field Office

435 Montaño Rd, NE

Albuquerque NM 87107

Tel: 505.761.8700

Dana Bahar

New Mexico Environmental Department

Ground Water Quality Bureau Superfund Oversight Section

Tel: 505.476.3777 Fax: 505.827.2965

E-mail: dana.bahar@state.nm.us

Joseph Lucero

Indian Health Service

Albuquerque Area

5300 Homestead Rd, NE

Albuquerque NM 87110

Tel: 248.4500

Nancy John

Inter-Tribal Environmental Council

208 Allen Rd

Tahlequah OK 74464

Tel: 800.259.5376

Joe Shirley, President

Navajo Nation

P O Box 900

Window Rock AZ 86515

Tel: 928.871.6352

Susan Welch

New Mexico Bureau of Geology and

Mineral Resources

2808 Central Ave, SE

Albuquerque NM 87105

Tel: 505.366.2530

Dr. Kelly Gallagher

New Mexico Department of Health Epidemiology and Response Division

1190 S St Francis Dr. Ste N1304

Santa Fe NM 87502

Tel: 505.476.3565

Heidi Krapfl
New Mexico Department of Health
Epidemiology and Response Division
1190 S St Francis Dr, Ste N1304
Santa Fe NM 87502
Tel: 505.476.3577

Dr. C. Mark Sewell
New Mexico Department of Health
Epidemiology and Response Division
1190 S St Francis Dr, Ste N1304
Santa Fe NM 57502
Tel: 505.827.0006

Bill Brancard, Director New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department 1220 S St Francis Dr Santa Fe NM 87505 Tel: 476.3405

Marcy Leavitt, Director New Mexico Environmental Department Water and Waste Management Division 1190 S St Francis Dr P O Box 5469 Santa Fe NM 87502 Tel: 505.827.2775

Jerry Schoeppner
New Mexico Environmental Department
Ground Waster Quality Bureau
Mining Environmental Compliance
Section
1190 S St Francis Dr
P O Box 5469
Santa Fe NM 87502
Tel: 505.827.0652

John D'Antonio
Office of the State Engineer
130 South Capitol St
Concha Ortiz y Pino Building
P O Box 25102
Santa Fe NM 7504
Tel: 505.827.6091
Patrick Lyons
New Mexico State Land Office
310 Old Santa Fe Trail
Santa Fe NM 87501
Tel: 505.827.5760

Chandler Sanchez, Governor Pueblo of Acoma P O Box 309 Acomita NM 87034 Tel: 505.552.6604

John Antonio, Sr., Governor Pueblo of Laguna P O Box 194 Laguna NM 87026 Tel: 505.552.6654

LTC Kimberly M. Colloton United States Corps of Engineers 4101 Jefferson Plaza, NE Albuquerque NM 87109 Tel: 505.342.3171

Ray Plieness, Director
United States Department of Energy
Office of Site Operations (OSO)
Office of Legacy Management (LM-20)
2597 B 3/4 Road
Grand Junction CO 81503
Tel: 970.248.6000

Vernon Maldonado
United States Department of Interior
Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation,
and Enforcement
505 Marquette Ave, NW
Albuquerque NM 87102
Tel: 505.248.5077

Stephen Spencer
Regional Environmental Officer
United States Department of Interior
Office of Environmental Policy and
Compliance
1001 Indian School Rd, NW
Albuquerque NM 87104
Tel: 505.563.3572

Thetis Gamberg
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
BLM Energy Pilot Program Liaison
1235 La Plata Highway, Ste A
Farmington NM 87401
Tel: 505.599.6348

United States Forest Service 333 Broadway, SE Albuquerque NM 87102 Tel: 505.842.3292

Tanya J. Gallegos United States Geological Survey Central Energy Resources Team Box 25046, Mail Stop 973 Denver CO 80225 Tel: 303.236.1654

University of New Mexico Environmental Affairs Albuquerque NM 87131 Tel: 505.277.0111 Keith McConnell, Deputy Director United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection Mailstop T8F5 Washington DC 20555 Tel: 800.368.5642